

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,551	05/03/2005	Gunnar Dike	027651-274	9359	
21839 7590 BUCHANAN, NGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533,551 DIKE, GUNNAR Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jill L. Heitbrink 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-10 and 12-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 12-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2008 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Art Unit: 1791

1. The amendment filed December 4, 2008 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The drawing amendment indicating the shape and location of the pressure accumulator (insertion of the arrow and element number 3) does not have support in the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "3" has been used to designate both the mandrel and pressure accumulator. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,551 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- Claim 1, lines 15 and 16 and claim 19, line 8 are unclear and should clearly define "a support device".
- Claim 17 recites the limitation "the radial guide means" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12, 13 and 15-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farkas Pat. No. 3,881,855.
- 9. Farkas discloses a device for forming injection moulded plastic articles, including a partible mould having an inner mould tool 84 and two outer mould tools 98 and 100. The outer mould tools 98 and 100 each having a central axis (which the two outer molds coincide during the opening and closing movement as is clear from the movement between Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 of Farkas). The device further comprising a rotatable hub (turret 38), the inner mould tool 84 being supported by the hub (col. 3, lines 22-28 and col. 4, lines 18-23), which is arranged to move the inner mould tool in an essentially

Art Unit: 1791

circular movement into and out of a mould cavity enclosed by the outer mould tools (Fig.

Page 4

1). The means for opening and closing the outer mould tools are arranged to move the

outer mould tools in a first direction which is radial in relation to the hub and a second

direction which is perpendicular to the first direction at least partly simultaneously (col.

3, lines 66-69) and directed in the plane of the circular movement of the inner mould tool

and moving the outer mould tools so that their central axes coincide throughout the

movement. As shown in Figs 3 and 4, Farkas provides means for opening and closing

the outer mould tools are arranged to move the outer mould tools along circular arcs.

The shape of the surface of the mold provide means for opening and closing the outer

mould tools with a plane guide means for guiding the outer mould tools such that they

are aligned when closed. These surfaces also provide the radial guide means which

moves the outer mold tools relative to the blocks 104. Farkas discloses means for

disengaging the outer mould tools from a frame of the device, such as by removing

bolts and the tie bars.

10. Farkas teaches the means for opening and closing the outer mould tools including pivotable levers (134) when moving the blow cavity shells. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide pivotable levers for moving the outer molds 98 and 100 depending upon the size of the undercut region of the molded product which must be removed from the mold halves 98 and 100 since levers provide a larger arc of movement. The mounting part being fixed would have been obvious in

Farkas when the turret is intermittently rotated rather than continuously.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

11. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12, 13 and 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reil et al. (German reference 4018484) taken together with anyone of Reil et al. Pat. No. 4,781,012 or Reil et al. Pat. No. 4,525,318 or Reil Pat. No. 5.004,411.

- 12. Reil '484 discloses moving the mandrel (6) to an outer mold tool (8 and 8'), positioning the outer mold tool around the inner mold tool by moving two halves of the outer mold tool in a first direction, which is radial in relation to the axis (10) about which the mandrel moves, and a second direction, which is perpendicular to the first direction and directed in a plane of the movement of the mandrel and injecting plastic material into the cavity to form a plastic attachment to a workpiece (abstract).
- 13. Reil '012, '318 and '411 each teach positioning a carton sleeve (abstract, paper tube) on an inner mold tool (mandrel), moving the mandrel with the carton sleeve to an outer mold tool, positioning the outer mold tool around the inner mold tool and carton sleeve by moving two halves of the outer mold tool in a first direction, which is radial in relation to the axis about which the mandrel moves, and a second direction, which is perpendicular to the first direction.
- 14. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the mandrel's in Reil '484 are intended to have a carton sleeve thereon since Reil'484 is injecting onto a workpiece which would have been describing the carton sleeve of similar Reil molding devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,551 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

15. Reil '012, '318 and '411 each teach a pair of levers having one end pivotably

attached to the outer mold tools and the other end of the lever pivotably attached to a

mounting part of a support device.

16. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to attach levers

between the outer mold tools and a support in Reil '484 since the outer mold tools are

moved in a similar pivotal movement and would not interfere with the rotation of the

mandrel about the shaft since the levers may be positioned outwardly of the mandrel

movement.

Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 6, 10 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of

the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art does not teach the claimed injection molding device including the specific

movement o the pivotable levers driven by belt drive means. The prior art does not

teach the claimed injection molding device including the radial guide means including

bars on which the plane guide means are guided.

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed December 4, 2008 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive.

19. Applicant argues that if the cams were replaced with pivotable levers in Farkas

than the cavity blocks would not be able to move to and from each other due to the

abutment with neck ring 160 during pivot opening. However, the cavity blocks 98, 100

Art Unit: 1791

21.

move away from the neck ring 160 during opening as indicated by Farkas, col. 5, lines 19-21, "Further motion of mold 30 to the right in FIG. 6 separates the parts 98,100 from the blow cavity mold 46".

20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill L. Heitbrink whose telephone number is (571) 272-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am -2 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1791

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jill L. Heitbrink/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 Jill L. Heitbrink Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

ilh